

BUREAU OF 9-1-1

Meeting: Wireless E9-1-1 Advisory Sub-Committee Funding Appeals Review
 Date: May 11, 2010
 Time: 9:00 AM
 Location: PEMA Headquarters, Harrisburg, PA

Committee Attendees:

Attendee	Organization	Attendee	Organization
Sharon Bader	AT&T	Timothy Baldwin	Lancaster County
David Cohick	Tioga County	Patrick Cusick	House of Representatives
John Haynes	Chester County	Commissioner Nothstein	Carbon County
Rick O'Leary*	Veteran's Affairs	Doug Snell*	D&E Communications
Dan Tancibok	Centre County	Don Tantum	Verizon Wireless
David Tews	Indigo Wireless	Robb Wentzel	PEMA

*Via Phone

Additional Attendees:

Jane Benfer	PEMA	Michelle Musser	PEMA
Gordon Vanauken	LR Kimball & Associates	Jerry Gaughan	LR Kimball & Associates
Karen Berg	LR Kimball & Associates	Bill Smith	LR Kimball & Associates
Josh Clemente	LR Kimball & Associates		
Karen Vorhees	LR Kimball & Associates		

Introductions were made and attendance sheet passed around and signed.

PSAP APPEALS

A summary document was distributed to each sub-Committee member that highlighted all appeals to be discussed. No Wireless Carrier Cost Recovery appeals were submitted.

ALLEGHENY COUNTY

APPEAL: SHARED MONTHLY RECURRING – T-1 Internet

DISCUSSION: Service for the main PSAP is \$614.52, which is a correction of the originally approved amount of \$667.00.

MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial and change approved amount to \$614.52 by John Haynes

SECOND: Sharon Bader

ARMSTRONG COUNTY

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – New World CAD Maintenance

DISCUSSION: Breakdown provides for removal of ineligible items per recommendations made at last year's meeting. IPager ineligible as it is used for back-up. Discussion on the lack of detailed documentation provided by this vendor.

MOTION: Recommend to Uphold County Appeal of CAD approved at \$19,211 by Dan Tancibok

SECOND: Commissioner Nothstein

BERKS COUNTY

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – New World CAD replacement

DISCUSSION: The base CAD package includes ineligible items. A breakout per line item of the base package is needed. All agreed to remain consistent with last year’s decision to disapprove if the proper documents were not provided. County could reapply the following year with proper documentation.

MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial with EXCEPTION by Sharon Bader

EXCEPTION: Approve appeal less \$68,300 and, per Remotion information at end of minutes, less half of \$274,000 pending breakdown of costs per line item from county within three business days of notification.

SECOND: Commissioner Nothstein

CITY OF BETHLEHEM

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Maintenance – CAD recovery for year 2009 – 2010 as per sub-Committee recommendation during last funding cycle stating to reapply once breakout is provided

DISCUSSION: Dave Cohick referred to Act 78 to clarify county appeal. Last year’s meeting minutes were reviewed. No reference to reapplying the following year with breakout was found.

MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial due to prior decision by sub-Committee to not recommend approval of prior year expenses by Dan Tancibok

SECOND: Commissioner Nothstein

OPPOSED: Dave Cohick

BUTLER COUNTY

APPEAL: SHARED MONTHLY RECURRING – Sonet Ring

DISCUSSION: Eligible under Wireline. Ineligible under Wireless. Not a shared cost.

MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Commissioner Nothstein

SECOND: Dave Tews

CARBON COUNTY

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – CAD Maintenance

DISCUSSION: Not all items eligible, law enforcement, EMS records and fire records are ineligible.

MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by John Haynes

SECOND: Dan Tancibok

ABSTAINED: Commissioner Nothstein

CLEARFIELD COUNTY

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Dispatch/call taking chairs

DISCUSSION: Cost extremely high, exceeds standard industry costs. Suggestion that Agency approve average cost, county would incur the remaining cost. Cost is \$3000, but funding history reflects average cost of \$1600.

MOTION: Recommend to Uphold County Appeal but limiting the amount at \$1600 per chair by John Haynes

SECOND: Dave Tews

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – 911 Fund Management

DISCUSSION: Sub-Committee agrees that Fund Management should not cover training. The FY2010-11 request is 20 percent higher than last year. Research found that same request for Fund Management throughout Commonwealth was as follows: Low: \$18,600, High: \$39,800. Clearfield was approved FY2009-10 at \$39,600. This years’ request - \$48,000.

MOTION: Recommend to Uphold County Appeal but limiting the amount at \$39,800 by Commissioner Nothstein

SECOND: Dave Tews

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Tower Validation

DISCUSSION: Request is above average for state (\$2720 per tower). Validity of Tower Validation questioned by Dan Tancibok. The FCC requires carriers to validate tower sites. Dave Cohick explained that carriers are not doing due diligence, therefore validation is necessary.

MOTION: Recommend to Uphold County Appeal but limit the amount of funding to reasonable and customary costs, which would be \$184,960 (\$2720/tower, 68 towers) by Tim Baldwin

SECOND: Dave Cohick

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – CAD Upgrade

DISCUSSION: Document appeared to contain back-up positions and four year maintenance. County replied that it is actual overflow. Sub-Committee agrees that it is overflow, not back-up. These positions are in the same building.

MOTION: Recommend to Uphold County Appeal by Dave Cohick

SECOND: Don Tantum

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Integrated console replacement

DISCUSSION: Dan Tancibok brought to sub-Committee attention that a county deploying to PH II was eligible for this but not eligible after PH II completion.

MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Dan Tancibok

SECOND: Commissioner Nothstein

OPPOSED: Dave Cohick

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Microwave for telephony

DISCUSSION: The county submitted sufficient documentation, but microwave for redundancy is not eligible. Sub-Committee member suggested that the county may be attempting to save money by getting phone service directly from microwave but documents clearly state redundancy.

MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by John Haynes

SECOND: Dan Tancibok

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Mobile Workstations (6)

DISCUSSION: Insufficient documentation on laptops, i.e. CAD

MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Tim Baldwin

SECOND: John Haynes

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – PSAP HVAC

DISCUSSION: Was installed the first quarter of 2010 as an emergency purchase. Past expenses are only approved for emergency purchases made within the immediately preceding FY.

MOTION: Recommend to Uphold County Appeal by Rick O'Leary

SECOND: Commissioner Nothstein

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Mobile CAD 3 positions

DISCUSSION: Documentation unclear. Lack of supporting documentation.

MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Tim Baldwin

SECOND: Dan Tancibok

CRAWFORD COUNTY

APPEAL: SHARED MONTHLY RECURRING – ESRI 3D Analyst extension software maintenance

DISCUSSION: Not required for Wireless calls. This is an enhancement.

MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Dan Tancibok

SECOND: John Haynes

CUMBERLAND COUNTY

APPEAL: SHARED MONTHLY RECURRING – Professional services for procurement and consulting services

DISCUSSION: Relocation services are ineligible. County applied for same item last year with different vendor, was denied last year.

MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Commissioner Nothstein

SECOND: Don Tantum

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Generator, UPS, transfer switches and associated eligible
DISCUSSION: Not exclusively for 9-1-1, building-wide, quote not broken down. Denied last year.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial due to multi-use by Tim Baldwin
SECOND: John Haynes

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Upgrade and relocate recording equipment
DISCUSSION: Recorder approved FY2009-10 funding application. Relocation costs are ineligible.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Commissioner Nothstein
SECOND: Rick O’Leary

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Weather and situational display for the communications room
DISCUSSION: Previously purchased, does not constitute emergency purchase.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Commissioner Nothstein
SECOND: Don Tantum

ERIE COUNTY

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Hardware upgrade - CAD
DISCUSSION: CAD life-cycle is approximately 5-7 years, with the computers typically on a three year lease.
FY2006-07 County was approved for new CAD, installed in 2008. Documentation is not clear as to what
components are specifically used by 9-1-1.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Dan Tancibok
SECOND: Tim Baldwin

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – CPE – Sentinel CM (911) system – Ten Position (Next generation 911-
IP enabled PSAP)
DISCUSSION: Denied on FY2009-10 Funding application. Appears to be back-up.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial based on lack of justification by Dan Tancibok
SECOND: Commissioner Nothstein

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING –Microwave – Motorola Canopy
DISCUSSION: Not eligible under Wireless
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Tim Baldwin
SECOND: John Haynes

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Motorola MIP5000 VoIP Dispatch consoles, integrated with 911 CPE
(Sentinel w/Avaya – IP enabled PSAP)
DISCUSSION: Radio is not eligible. County argues that system is integrated. Sub-Committee contends that it is
separate.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Tim Baldwin
SECOND: John Haynes

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Professional Services - Kimball
DISCUSSION: Document suggested staffing study. Contract executed November 2009 – prior year cost
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial – prior year cost- Tim Baldwin
SECOND: Rick O’Leary

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Next Generation 9-1-1 assessment and policy development
DISCUSSION: Next Generation assessments are not funded at the county level.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Tim Baldwin
SECOND: Dan Tancibok

***STIPULATION: ALL NEXT GENERATION ASSESSMENTS, SURVEYS, SECURITY AND PROCEDURES
ARE DENIED¹**

¹ The Wireless Sub-Committee uses a stipulation of certain decisions to speed the appeals process. If an appeal meets the same parameters the stipulation will apply without the need for a motion.

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Interact WebCAD enterprise with first year annual maintenance
DISCUSSION: Not eligible, not essential to function of 9-1-1
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by John Haynes
SECOND: Dave Cohick

APPEAL: SHARED MONTHLY RECURRING – CPE Maintenance
DISCUSSION: Approved three eligible items. Quote shows 65 percent discount. Approved with discount.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Dave Cohick
SECOND: Dave Tews

APPEAL: SHARED MONTHLY RECURRING – Annual Maintenance – Interact CAD system
DISCUSSION: Removed ineligible. \$6,324 for Interact ProQA interface eligible.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial with exception of eligible interface amount by Dave Cohick
SECOND: John Haynes

APPEAL: SHARED MONTHLY RECURRING – Annual Maintenance – Catalyst integrated IP console
DISCUSSION: Documentation does not provide enough clear detail to clarify between telephony and radio.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Dan Tancibok
SECOND: Tim Baldwin

FRANKLIN COUNTY

APPEAL: PERSONNEL – New staff position with job title of Public Safety Outreach
DISCUSSION: Not eligible; includes more than Wireless
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Commissioner Nothstein
SECOND: Rick O’Leary

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Fund Management professional services - year three
DISCUSSION: Prior years’ cost - ineligible
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Dan Tancibok
SECOND: Dave Cohick

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Emerson decommission/recommission of UPS for move to main PSAP
DISCUSSION: Not eligible; prior years’ cost and relocation is not eligible.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by John Haynes
SECOND: Commissioner Nothstein

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Relocate UPS to new PSAP
DISCUSSION: Not eligible; prior years’ cost and relocation is not eligible.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Dave Cohick
SECOND: Sharon Bader

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – CAD Upgrade Firehouse software
DISCUSSION: Not eligible. County argues that it is integrated with call taking equipment.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Tim Baldwin
SECOND: Dan Tancibok

APPEAL: MONTHLY RECURRING – UPS maintenance for Visual GIS servers at PSAP through Century Link
DISCUSSION: Approved amount was reduced by \$1936.48 which was the maintenance on four HD TV’s which were deemed ineligible.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold County Appeal to allow for \$968.24 for the maintenance on 2 of the HD TV’s used to display CAD by Tim Baldwin
SECOND: Dan Tancibok

LACKAWANNA COUNTY

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Professional Services for Task 1 of Telecommunications Consulting services contract. This is a study of the 911 and other PSAP phone lines.

DISCUSSION: Repeated services from prior years. Not directly related to 9-1-1.

MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Dave Tews

SECOND: Sharon Bader

LEHIGH COUNTY

APPEAL: LEC Costs for Wireless 9-1-1 – Ali for Mobile Workstations

DISCUSSION: Appears to be Mobile notification - Ineligible

MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Commissioner Nothstein

SECOND: John Haynes

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Maintenance - consoles

DISCUSSION: Radio not eligible. Have approved Zetron ACOM maintenance in the past. This particular request exceeds the number of positions.

MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by John Haynes

SECOND: Dan Tancibok

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Mobile workstations

DISCUSSION: Alternative sources of backup

MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Tim Baldwin

SECOND: Rick O’Leary

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Maintenance CAD

DISCUSSION: Mobile data is ineligible

MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Commissioner Nothstein

SECOND: Dan Tancibok

APPEAL: SHARED MONTHLY RECURRING – ALI/Selective Routing/Long Distance, etc.

DISCUSSION: Costs approved in Wireline plan.

MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by John Haynes

SECOND: Dave Tews

****STIPULATION:** Long Distance call plans are approved in Wireline Plan and are ineligible for Wireless.²

MONROE COUNTY

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – CAD Replacement Printers – HP, Integra Business Center

DISCUSSION: Previous expense of \$172.42.

MOTION: Recommend to Uphold County Appeal – recommend approval of the new printer portion of the request and deny additional charges of \$172.42 by Tim Baldwin

SECOND: Don Tantum

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – CAD Network Assessment - ACS

DISCUSSION: Lack of documentation.

MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial John Haynes

SECOND: Dan Tancibok

APPEAL: SHARED MONTHLY RECURRING – Language Line, Frontier, ATT, Palmerton and Verizon Accounts

DISCUSSION: Costs approved in Wireline Plan.

MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by ****STIPULATION**

² The Wireless Sub-Committee uses a stipulation of certain decisions to speed the appeals process. If an appeal meets the same parameters the stipulation will apply without the need for a motion.

APPEAL: SHARED MONTHLY RECURRING – PSAP HVAC Repairs
DISCUSSION: Previous expenditure. Documentation does not provide clarity as to expected expenses.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Tim Baldwin
SECOND: Don Tantum

APPEAL: SHARED MONTHLY RECURRING – PLGIT Bank & Investment Service Charges
DISCUSSION: Exceeds traditional expected banking fees and appears to be investment fees not banking fees.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Dave Cohick
SECOND: John Haynes

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Recorder Maintenance
DISCUSSION: Documentation is insufficient to determine eligibility.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Dan Tancibok
SECOND: Dave Tews

NORTHAMPTON COUNTY

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Logger Recorder
DISCUSSION: Received funds in FY2009-10 for same equipment.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Tim Baldwin
SECOND: Doug Snell

PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Replacement of HVAC at PSAP (Verizon)
DISCUSSION: Documentation does not identify the areas(s) of the building that are 9-1-1 related. Quote provided was from October 1, 2007.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Tim Baldwin
SECOND: Sharon Bader

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – CAD Project Manager Consultant
DISCUSSION: Lack of documentation.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Dan Tancibok
SECOND: Commissioner Nothstein

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Wireless Fund Management and Consulting Services – Essential Management
DISCUSSION: Allow Task A, C, and D.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold County Appeal by Tim Baldwin
SECOND: Don Tantum

DISCUSSION: Task B – Deny eligibility under Wireless, eligible under Wireline.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Tim Baldwin
SECOND: Dave Cohick

DISCUSSION: Task E – Deny due to insufficient documentation, cannot identify 9-1-1 related costs.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Tim Baldwin
SECOND: Dave Cohick

DISCUSSION: Task F – Approve \$88,800, move to equipment, Section 3. Item is strictly Wireless.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold County Appeal by Tim Baldwin
SECOND: John Haynes

DISCUSSION: Task G - Deny due to insufficient documentation, cannot identify 9-1-1 related costs.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Rick O'Leary
SECOND: Doug Snell

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Replacement of HVAC at PSAP Fire/EMS Dispatch - Verizon
DISCUSSION: Insufficient documentation.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Tim Baldwin
SECOND: Doug Snell

APPEAL: SHARED MONTHLY RECURRING – Verizon bill 215-019-0911
DISCUSSION: Costs approved in Wireline Plan.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by ****STIPULATION**

PIKE COUNTY

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Microwave Telephone Connectivity to new 911 center.
DISCUSSION: Sub-Committee determined that this is the best use of public funds.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold County Appeal by John Haynes
SECOND: Dave Tews

POTTER COUNTY

APPEAL: LEC Costs for Wireless 9-1-1
DISCUSSION: Last year's charge was to hook-up to the database. This year's charge is to hook-up to the router.
Sub-Committee agrees.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Dave Cohick
SECOND: John Haynes

APPEAL: LEC Costs for Wireless 9-1-1 IP Circuits
DISCUSSION: Support county appeal but move to shared cost at \$3000 per month
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold County Appeal with changes of moving to shared costs at \$3,000 per month by
John Haynes
SECOND: Sharon Bader

****STIPULATION** – LEC for IP Circuits is a shared cost and will be moved to the appropriate section by Agency staff.³

APPEAL: PERSONNEL - Training
DISCUSSION: Exorbitant amount considering county paid \$1500 last year for training. County purchased new equipment which constitutes additional training. Requested amount is in county budget. It is an eligible shared cost.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold County Appeal by John Haynes
SECOND: Don Tantum

APPEAL: PERSONNEL - Travel
DISCUSSION: Requested amount in county budget. It is an eligible shared cost. Same situation as previous appeal of training.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold County Appeal– included in previous line item

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – GIS Mapping Unit
DISCUSSION: Documentation is not specific. Previous GIS expenditures of \$1.7M 2005-2009. In FY2009-10 over \$400,000 was approved.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold County Appeal in the amount of \$14,700 as per GIS line item in county budget by John Haynes
SECOND: Tim Baldwin
OPPOSED: Dave Cohick

³ The Wireless Sub-Committee uses a stipulation of certain decisions to speed the appeals process. If an appeal meets the same parameters the stipulation will apply without the need for a motion.

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Fund Management for 9-1-1
DISCUSSION: Sub-Committee agrees that Fund Management should not cover training. Potter was approved FY2009-10 at \$32,000. This year's request - \$72,000. Research found that same request throughout Commonwealth was as follows: Low: \$18,600, High: \$39,800.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold County Appeal, however, recommended approved amount was reduced from \$72,000 to \$39,800 by Dave Cohick
SECOND: Sharon Bader

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – MSAG Validation
DISCUSSION: Funded two years ago.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by John Haynes
SECOND: Commissioner Nothstein
OPPOSED: Dave Cohick

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Headsets (12)
DISCUSSION: Sub-Committee determined that 12 headsets may be needed.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold County Appeal by Don Tantum
SECOND: John Haynes

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – NG 911 costs, data assessment and development/PSAP system and security/SOP guidelines
DISCUSSION: Not necessary
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by *STIPULATION

SNYDER COUNTY

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Dispatch Console Maintenance (Keystone)
DISCUSSION: Radio not eligible
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by David Tews
SECOND: Commissioner Nothstein

SULLIVAN COUNTY

APPEAL: EQUIPMENT – Professional services for fund management.
DISCUSSION: Sub-Committee referred to two previous FY funding request supporting documentation quotes which included triennial planning.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold County Appeal but reducing the amount from \$36,000 to \$16,800 based on previous year's request by Dave Tews
SECOND: Sharon Bader

TIOGA COUNTY

APPEAL: EQUIPMENT – Verizon Wireless phone for testing
DISCUSSION: Exceeds customary charges. Verizon Wireless Phone (Motorola DROID for \$200) deemed too expensive for just making test calls.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Tim Baldwin
SECOND: John Haynes
ABSTAINED: Dave Cohick, Dave Tews, Sharon Bader, Don Tantum
OPPOSED: Rick O'Leary

APPEAL: EQUIPMENT – Indigo Wireless phone for testing
DISCUSSION: Exceeds customary charges. Indigo Wireless Phone (Apple I Phone for \$500) deemed too expensive for just making test calls.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Tim Baldwin
SECOND: John Haynes
ABSTAINED: Dave Cohick, Dave Tews, Sharon Bader, Don Tantum
OPPOSED: Rick O'Leary

APPEAL: LEC Costs for Wireless – IP Circuits
DISCUSSION: Approve under *** **STIPULATION**, move to shared cost.
ABSTAINED: Dave Cohick

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – PSAP HVAC – CPE Equipment Room
DISCUSSION: Previous expense
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Tim Baldwin
SECOND: Dave Tews
ABSTAINED: Dave Cohick

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – GIS Data Layer Development/Maintenance
DISCUSSION: From 2005 – 2009 \$2M was funded to county. Last year \$186,000 was approved for GIS layer maintenance. Reasonable costs for maintenance are between \$30,000 - \$70,000.
MOTION: Based on last year’s funded amount, Recommend to Uphold County Appeal by Dave Tews
SECOND: John Haynes
OPPOSED: Don Tantum and Tim Baldwin
ABSTAINED: Dave Cohick and Sharon Bader

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Professional Services MSAG Validation
DISCUSSION: Did not fund previously.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold County Appeal by Tim Baldwin
SECOND: Don Tantum
ABSTAINED: Dave Cohick

Post-Meeting Agency Review:

Tioga County's request for funding from the wireless fund for “MSAG validation” for FY 2010-11 was supported by T3 proposal to perform the work. This proposal provided limited information on the scope of work that will be performed; specifically, the T3 Proposal stated:

*“MSAG Validation”
“We will review your current MSAG data and validate it against current county data. We will also work with your chosen ALI host vendor to ensure system data accuracy.”*

This description does not include any reference to wireless routing sheets or wireless deployment activities. The FY 10-11 Funding Eligibility List lists “MSAG/Routing Sheet Validation/Testing” as wireless funding eligible at a tier 1 and shared 1 level. This item is a subcategory under “Wireless Post-Deployment Services:” which is further listed as a subcategory under the “Professional Services” Category. The meeting discussion of the Wireless sub-Committee focused on MSAG validation having been funded in the past, rather than how the request applies to the current eligibility list. The sub-Committee's discussion referenced a previous county’s FY 10-11 funding request was denied based on the fact they had received funding for this service in the past. Tioga County had not received funding in the past and the sub-Committee recommended overturning the agency decision and approving the funding.

The line item MSAG/Routing Sheet Validation is related to the Wireless post deployment based on it being listed as a subcategory of that item. PEMA has interpreted this to mean that MSAG validation of locations listed on routing sheets or other locations related to the wireless deployment is a valid post deployment service, or using the MSAG to validate information, not validating the MSAG. Based on the language of the eligibility list and comparing that to the county provided T3 documentation, this item appears to constitute review of Tioga's MSAG data, and not to apply that MSAG to a wireless deployment or wireless routing sheet.

Recognizing the statutory charge of the sub-Committee is a recommending authority only, I was concerned the sub-Committee's recommendation to uphold the County appeal was inconsistent with the eligibility list. Based on a review of the sub-Committee discussion, and further review of the documentation, this item is deemed to be eligible for wireline funding, but not Wireless funding; ergo the reason for this item not being included on your line item report.

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Back Up Power Generator Primary PSAP
DISCUSSION: Was previous purchase and was an emergency purchase.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold County Appeal based on emergency purchase by Commissioner Nothstein
SECOND: John Haynes
ABSTAINED: Dave Cohick

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Next Generation 911 Costs Data Assessment and Development/PSAP system and security assessment/PSAP Policies and Procedures
DISCUSSION:
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by * **STIPULATION**
ABSTAINED: Dave Cohick

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Fund Management for 911
DISCUSSION: Tioga was approved FY2009-10 at \$36,300. This year's request - \$72,000. Research found that same request throughout Commonwealth was as follows: Low: \$18,600, High: \$39,800.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold County Appeal but reducing the amount from \$72,000 to \$36,300 by Dan Tancibok
SECOND: Tim Baldwin
ABSTAINED: Dave Cohick

UNION COUNTY

APPEAL: SHARED MONTHLY RECURRING – Maintenance year 3 of multi-year quote
DISCUSSION: Eligible cost.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold County Appeal by John Haynes
SECOND: Dave Cohick

APPEAL: SHARED MONTHLY RECURRING – T3 Planimetric Mapping Updates
DISCUSSION: The only GIS funding the county has received over the duration of the program was \$12,480 in FY2009-10 for centerline maintenance.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold County Appeal by Dave Cohick
SECOND: Tim Baldwin

WASHINGTON COUNTY

APPEAL: PERSONNEL
DISCUSSION: County provided unsigned agreement for GIS Mapping Services for \$5,000. County cannot officially sign the agreement until it has secured wireless funds.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold County Appeal by John Haynes
SECOND: Commissioner Nothstein

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Microwave connectivity between Canonsburg & Washington Verizon Co's 29449k per end x 4
DISCUSSION: County applied for same item in FY2009-10, was disapproved. Not eligible, consistent with previous year, request does not appear to be for primary telephony.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Tim Baldwin
SECOND: Dan Tancibok

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Zetron Mobile Portable self contained suitcase type unit. Survivability in the event of a catastrophic failure
DISCUSSION: County requested same item in FY2009-10. The documentation submitted with this year's funding application does not meet the criteria as specified on the eligibility list.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Tim Baldwin
SECOND: Commissioner Nothstein

WAYNE COUNTY

APPEAL: EQUIPMENT – Professional Assistance for Wireless Transition to new facility including Network Design and Testing.
DISCUSSION: Relocation ineligible.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Tim Baldwin
SECOND: Sharon Bader

WESTMORELAND COUNTY

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Maintenance - CAD
DISCUSSION: County is appealing the removal of the IPager component of their initial request. Breakdown provides for removal of ineligible items per recommendations made at last year's meeting. IPager is ineligible as it is used for back-up.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Dave Cohick
SECOND: Tim Baldwin

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – SR/ALI/Long Distance/Telco Charges
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by ****STIPULATION**

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – Subscriptions and Reference Manuals
DISCUSSION: Ineligible item.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by Commissioner Nothstein
SECOND: Dave Cohick

WYOMING COUNTY

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – UPS for Primary PSAP
DISCUSSION: Redundant UPS ineligible
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold Agency Denial by John Haynes
SECOND: Commissioner Nothstein

APPEAL: SHARED MONTHLY RECURRING – CAD Software & Address Validation Maintenance
DISCUSSION: Recommend approval of CAD Maintenance less Fireside and ZIP+4
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold County Appeal with changes to remove Fireside and ZIP +4 by Dave Cohick
SECOND: John Haynes

APPEAL: SHARED MONTHLY RECURRING – Maintenance for CAD Desktops, Servers, etc
DISCUSSION: Third-party monitoring of CAD hard drive is eligible item, proper documentation was submitted.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold County Appeal by Dan Tancibok
SECOND: Dave Cohick

YORK COUNTY

APPEAL: SHARED NON-RECURRING – First Watch software enhancement to interface with CAD
DISCUSSION: Item is for quality assurance; analytical software. Approve under shared non-recurring.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold County Appeal by Dan Tancibok
SECOND: Rick O'Leary

APPEAL: SHARED MONTHLY RECURRING – CAD Maintenance (mobile removed from invoice pricing)
DISCUSSION: Sub-Committee unsure if CAD package can be broken down any further or whether certain modules are standard in CAD packages from vendors. Crime analysis, interface and site license RMS should all be removed.
MOTION: Recommend to Uphold County Appeal but limit the amount to \$80,790.50 (\$64,600 for CAD and \$16,190 for site license-CAD) by Dave Cohick
SECOND: Sharon Bader
By unanimous vote, the motion did not carry and the Agency decision is upheld.

FURTHER DISCUSSIONS AND REMOTIONS

Remotion: To reopen the Union, Berks, and York County decisions by Tim Baldwin
SECOND: Dave Tews

DISCUSSION: Because CAD maintenance packages contain ineligible items, Robb reiterated that CAD maintenance approval be contingent upon a receipt of a detailed breakdown of costs by a specific deadline.

Discussion ensued on several methodologies to address the issue of ineligible items being included in a lump sum price for CAD maintenance.

Individual county decisions as follows:

BERKS COUNTY

MOTION: Recommend approval of \$274,000 less 50 percent. Total to approve \$137,000 pending documentation. Response must be received from County within three business days of notification by Sharon Bader
SECOND: Commissioner Nothstein

UNION COUNTY

MOTION: Recommend approval of \$11,949.44 (half of maintenance cost) pending documentation. Response must be received from County within three business days of notification by Dave Cohick
SECOND: Dave Tews

YORK COUNTY

MOTION: Recommend approval of \$49,490.50 (half of each CAD software and CAD base) pending documentation. Response must be received from County within three business days of notification by Dave Cohick
SECOND: Sharon Bader

BRADFORD COUNTY

Wyoming County requested wireless funding for a second “redundant” UPS. This request was denied and appealed. In the justification provided in the appeal the County stated that this was an item that was approved for Bradford County, and that it was required based on the NENA Technical Requirements document 04-001.⁴

MOTION: Recommend to open previously approved UPS on Bradford Funding Application by John Haynes.
SECOND: Dan Tancibok

Sub-committee discussion on “redundant” UPS was focused on the common practice that using multiple UPS’s can often create conflict and reduce or eliminate the effectiveness of the UPS’s. The common practice is for a UPS to be backed up with another power source such as a Generator or redundant Power circuit. Issue is not to keep PSAP running until another power source can be found, rather if generator fails, how long to keep PSAP running until implementation of alternate routing scenarios; ergo minimum 15 minutes to preferred 1 hour UPS design standard.

MOTION: Recommend overturn Agency approval by John Haynes
SECOND: Dan Tancibok

MOTION: Recommend Bradford County has three business days upon notification to respond to Agency by John Haynes.
SECOND: Don Tantum

⁴ NENA-04-001 Issue 2, March, 2001
Recommended Generic Standards for E9-1-1 PSAP Equipment

These minutes are a summary of the writer's interpretation. Unless changes are identified within ten (10) days of receipt, via letter, agreement with the content shall be assumed.

Respectfully submitted,

Karen Vorhees
L. R. Kimball & Associates